# Exposing Consortial Holdings Working Group Planning

| Elements of Charge | Data Sources and Additional Questions |
|---|---|
| What options exist to help meet the objective of exposing library holdings on the web? | • WorldCat<br>• Find More Illinois<br>• Consortium catalogs |
| What options exist to help meet the objective of facilitating resource sharing? | • OCLC ILL<br>• Find More Illinois<br>• SHARE Illinois<br>• PrairieCat barcode purchases<br>• Standalone libraries allowing catalog access for holds<br>• ALA request form<br>• OCLC Cataloging & ILL stats per RAILS library and at consortial level |
| Is it necessary to have a single solution? | • Pros and cons<br>• Solution(s) should address needs of multiple sizes and types of libraries |
| What are the potential pathways that may or may not include OCLC? | • Project X<br>• Other vendor solutions<br>• Individual libraries update their own OCLC holdings<br>• How does CARLI handle this?<br>• How do other states handle this?<br>• RAILS – OCLC Alternatives Environmental Scan (2015) |
| Discrepancies in fees and prohibitively high cost to some libraries erode statewide investment in OCLC. What is the Illinois State Library's long-term commitment to OCLC, and WorldCat specifically? | • Nature of Group Services contract and possibility of negotiation on pricing<br>• Past conversations re: fees & current perspectives on inequities<br>• Who is advocating for Illinois libraries and how can we influence the process |
| How important is it to consortia to require OCLC membership? | • Value of Cataloging vs. ILL<br>• Reasons for requiring OCLC<br>• Survey libraries about importance<br>• Use statistics, including FirstSearch<br>• Identify metrics for measuring effectiveness and usefulness of services |
| What is the long-term or medium-term need to keep holdings updated in OCLC? | • Consortial needs<br>• ILL needs<br>• Library needs – resource sharing |
| If this is needed, what are the core issues facing each consortium in updating holdings? | • Problems solved by OSMOSIS/Project X<br>• Tool to update OCLC holdings (adds/deletes) while reflecting consortial affiliation (was OSMOSIS) |

| | <ul><li>OCLC native Data Sync may meet needs – manages holdings at library symbol, submitted at group symbol level</li><li>Current group symbols don't accurately reflect consortium membership</li><li>Why is this important?</li></ul> |
|---|---|
| Is there an existing provider that can develop and/or deliver the service? | <ul><li>OSMOSIS</li><li>Project X</li><li>Vendors (OCLC, MARCIVE, etc)</li></ul> |
| If we move forward with a unified solution like Project X, who provides funding and maintenance? | <ul><li>Where is funding coming from, and how secure and/or sustainable is it?</li><li>How is future development accomplished? Who supports it?</li></ul> |