

## Exposing Consortial Holdings Working Group Planning

Elements of Charge	Data Sources and Additional Questions
What options exist to help meet the objective of exposing library holdings on the web?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WorldCat</li> <li>• Find More Illinois</li> </ul>
What options exist to help meet the objective of facilitating resource sharing?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• OCLC ILL</li> <li>• Find More Illinois</li> <li>• OCLC Cataloging &amp; ILL stats per RAILS library and at consortial level</li> </ul>
Is it necessary to have a single solution?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pros and cons</li> </ul>
What are the potential pathways that may or may not include OCLC?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project X</li> <li>• Other vendor solutions</li> <li>• Individual libraries update their own OCLC holdings</li> <li>• How does CARLI handle this?</li> <li>• How do other states handle this?</li> </ul>
Discrepancies in fees and prohibitively high cost to some libraries erode statewide investment in OCLC. What is the Illinois State Library's long-term commitment to OCLC, and WorldCat specifically?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nature of Group Services contract</li> <li>• Past conversations re: fees &amp; current perspectives</li> </ul>
How important is it to consortia to require OCLC membership?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Value of Cataloging vs. ILL</li> <li>• Reasons for requiring OCLC</li> <li>• Survey libraries about importance</li> </ul>
What is the long-term or medium-term need to keep holdings updated in OCLC?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consortial needs</li> </ul>
If this is needed, what are the core issues facing each consortium in updating holdings?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Problems solved by OSMOSIS/Project X</li> <li>• Tool to update OCLC holdings (adds/deletes) while reflecting consortial affiliation (was OSMOSIS)</li> <li>• OCLC native Data Sync doesn't meet needs – manages holdings at library symbol, not group symbol level</li> <li>• Current group symbols don't accurately reflect consortium membership</li> <li>• Why is this important?</li> </ul>
Is there an existing provider that can develop and/or deliver the service?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• OSMOSIS</li> <li>• Project X</li> <li>• Vendors (OCLC, MARCIVE, etc)</li> </ul>
If we move forward with a unified solution like Project X, who provides funding and maintenance?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Where is funding coming from, and how secure and/or sustainable is it?</li> <li>• How is future development accomplished? Who supports it?</li> </ul>