IT SECURITY FOR LIB<mark>raries</mark> Part 3: Disaster Re<mark>covery</mark>

BRIAN PICHMAN | EVOLVE PROJECT @BPICHMAN ON TWITTER!



IDENTIFYING THREATS

- "Act of God"
 - Tornado, Flood, Fire
- "Act of Evil"
 - Break-ins, Hacking, Physical Damage, Viruses
- "Act of Error"
 - Accidental Deletions, Hardware Failure, Software Glitches
- Loss of Services (could be caused by above)
 - Internet, Power, Heating/Cooling, Phone, Building Issues

RECOVERABLE RISKS

- Risks with Provided Services:
 - Internet
 - Phone
 - Power
- Risks with Created Data
 - Corruption
 - Loss
- Risk with Owned Systems
 - Errors or Corruption
 - Failure or Loss

RISK ASSESSMENT: An introduction

Likelihood is described using the table below

RATING	CRITERIA
Rare	May only occur in exceptional circumstances
Unlikely	The risk event could occur at some time(during a specified period), but it is unlikely
Possible	Might happen at some time; occurrence would not be unusual
Likely	Will probably occur in most circumstances
Almost certain	Is expected to occur in most circumstances

- Next, look at likelihood (Table 2). This is quite simply the predicted likelihood of the risk event occurring. This must be determined by using the criteria listed in the table. For example, you may be looking at the risk of muscular skeletal injury whilst loading the car. You determine that it is "Possible" that an injury may occur (remember that this is without any controls in place).
- Once you have determined both the consequence and the likelihood you combine them using the risk matrix (Table 3.) to determine the risk rating. For example: if you have determined that the consequence of a musculo skeletal injury is "Moderate" and the likelihood of this injury occurring is "Possible" and the resulting risk rating is Medium.

Table 2. Likelihood

Use the risk matrix to determine the risk rating

				CONSEQUENCE		
-		Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
	Almost certain	Medium	High	High	Extreme	Extreme
	Likely	Medium	Medium	High	High	Extreme
KELIHOO	Possible	Low	Medium	Medium	High	Extreme
2	Unlikely	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High
	Bare	Low	Low	Low	Medium	High

Table 3. Risk matrix

It is important to note here, that an event does not have to result in a major injury or illness to be considered a high priority. A small incident happening frequently, therefore affecting more people can often be considered a high priority. It is paramount that the likelihood and consequence tables are used and combined using the risk matrix provided to determine the level of risk. This lessens the chance of people using their own biases when interpreting risk. This also standardises the way we look at and interpret risk.

A GOOD RECOVERY PLAN INCLUDES

- Monitoring
 - Systems need to be actively monitored
- Recoverable Backups and Systems
 - Systems need to have data backed up
- Redundancy
 - Systems need to be redundant to mitigate risk of device or service failure, having failover devices and services is important to ensure uptime.
- TESTING
 - I'm going to say this a few times.



"Risks need to be monitored so that management can act promptly if and when the nature, potential impact, or likelihood of the risk goes outside acceptable levels."

Author Norman Marks in "World Class Risk Management" (p. 179)

www.ERMInsightsbyCarol.com

COST OF DOWNTIME

• **RESEARCH HIGHLIGHTS**:

- Data loss and downtime costs enterprises \$1.7 trillion¹
- Companies on average lost 400%² more data over the last two years (equivalent to 24 million emails³ each)
- 71% of IT professionals are not fully confident in their ability to recover information following an incident
- 51% of organizations lack a disaster recovery plan for emerging workloads⁴; just 6% have plans for big data, hybrid cloud and mobile
- Only 2% of organizations are data protection "Leaders"; II% "Adopters"; 87% are behind the curve
- China, Hong Kong, The Netherlands, Singapore and the US lead protection maturity; Switzerland, Turkey and the UAE lag behind
- Companies with three or more vendors lost three times as much data as those with a single-vendor strategy

https://www.emc.com/about/news/press/2014/20141202-01.htm

A DISASTER PLAN IS ABOUT

- Ensuring Redundancy and Recovery
- Planning and Preparation:
 - Risk Management
 - Risk Assessment
 - Risk Mitigation
 - Business Continuity
- If a Disaster Occurs:
 - Response
 - Relief
 - Recovery
 - Restoration

SERVICES: INTERNET AND PHONE

- Internet is a core component for day to day operations
 - Connecting to an ILS
- What makes up your connection to the outside world?
- ISP = Internet Service Provider





Having two different internet connections across two different modems will help mitigate risk of a Service Provider Failure

Other considerations include hardware failure and redundancy. Having a spare firewall (or using two firewalls to load balance) can help mitigate risk.



SERVICES: POWER

- Having Battery Power Supplies / UPS for your server and network equipment can help ensure uptime
 - Time for Generators to kick on
 - Gives you enough time to power down the machines versus an abrupt power loss.
- Have generators if your business requires you to have power in your building consistently.
 - If you are considered a shelter or a heating place it should be a requirement.



CHOOSING A BATTERY BACKUP-Consumption

- How much power does your devices consume?
 - You can do the math using server tools that measure consumption of power at peak times.
 - You can also get a watt meter and test average consumption over an extended period of time.
 - Some fancy rack mounted power strips have power consumption built in.



CHOOSING A BATTERY BACKUP-Load Time

 You will want to make sure your UPS can power your network long enough to get what you need to get done (in terms of powering down) or length of time for the generator to kick in.



CHOOSING A BATTERY BACKUP-FEATURES

- Power supplies should be plugged into your network
 - To give you real time reporting of load (so you can add more UPSs if need)
 - To tell you battery health
 - Sending alerts at thresholds
 - Power Failure
 - Over usage
 - Battery is almost drained



DATA IS EXPENSIVE

- Financial Records for 7 years
 - SOX (Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)
- Cost of a "data record"
 - On average, the cost of such a record containing <u>healthcare information</u> is \$363 (and also employee records are known to be this much if including social information
 - At the end of May 2015, the Ponemon Institute released its annual "Cost of Data Breach Study." Researchers estimated that the <u>average cost</u> of each lost or stolen record containing sensitive and confidential information was \$154.
 - Verizon has the concept from a per-record perspective, claiming an average cost of just 58 cents for each lost or stolen file.



WHAT CAN HAPPEN TO MY DATA?

- It can be corrupted!
 - Someone makes changes to a file. Accidental deletion, purposeful manipulation, script goes rouge.
 - Can impact system performance
- It can be lost!
 - Server goes down, disappears, etc.
 - Spreadsheets, employee files, payroll, flyers, data about events
 - Website Data, Catalog Data, Hosted Applications...gone!
 - Email!
- Hardware failure



WAYS TO BACK UP

Backup type	Data backed up	Backup time	Restore time	Storage space
Full backup	All data	Slowest	Fast	High
Incremental backup*	Only new/modified files/folders	Fast	Moderate	Lowest
Differential backup	All data since last full	Moderate	Fast	Moderate
Mirror backup	Only new/modified files/folders	Fastest	Fastest	Highest

*recommended backup type

CALENDAR

- Monthly Full Back Up
- Hourly/Daily Incremental Back Ups
- Weekly Differential
- Back Ups should also be stored off-site.
 - Either Weekly Differentials and/or Monthly Back Ups
 - This fixes the "what if the place was taken out a storm"

Outdated Media: USB Flash Drives Optical Disks

BACK UP MEDIUMS

Туре	Pros	Cons
External Drives*	Inexpensive Fastest media for backups Easily portable Readable on variety of computers	More fragile than other media Ruggedized versions available (pricey) May require special power supply
NAS (Network Area Storage)*	Backups are more automated and controlled. More Security. Can be remotely monitored with ease.	Can be more expensive depending on automation. Requires setup and network configurations. Bandwidth May require the NAS OS to read if NAS Hardware Failure
Tape Drives	Inexpensive Durable Easily portable Reliable	Expensive Compatibility issues May require additional software SLOW
Cloud	Off Premise by another group.	Expensive and less control of your "data"

*Solid State Drives would be more expensive but less risk of hardware failure (no mechanical parts)

RAID Level Comparison						RAID	Features an	d Perfori	nance		
Features	RAID O	RAID 1	RAID 1E	RAID 5	RAID 5EE	RAID 6	Compari	son of RAID levels fro	om the RAID A	dvisory Board	1.
Minimum # Drives	2	2	3	3	4	4	Commor Name	Disks Description (cost)	Data Reliability	Data Transfer	Maximum I/O Rate
Data Protection	No Protection	Single-drive failure	Single-drive failure	Single-drive failure	Single-drive failure	Two-drive failure	0 Disk Striping	Data is N distributed across disks in the array. No redundant info provided.	lower than single disk	very high	very high for read and write
Read Performance	High	High	High	High	High	High	1	All data 2N, replicated 3N,	higher than RAID 2, 3, 4	R: higher than single	R: up to 2x single disk
Write Performance	High	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Mirroring	on Netto. separate disks. Nis almost	lower than 6	disk W: similar to	W: similar to single
Read Performance (degraded)	N/A	Medium	High	Low	Low	Low	2	always 2. Data is N+m protected by Hamming code.	much higher than single disk;	single disk highest	disk similar to 2x single disk
Write Performance (degraded)	N/A	High	High	Low	Low	Low		Redundant info distributed across m disks (m =number of datadisks	comparable to RAID 3, 4 or 5		-
Capacity Utilization	100%	50%	50%	67% - 94%	50% - 88%	50% - 88%		in array).			
Typical Applications	High end workstations, data logging, real-time	Operating system, transaction databases	Operating system, transaction databases	Data warehousing, web serving, archiving	Data warehousing, web serving, archiving	Data archive, backup to disk high availabilit solutions,	3 Parallel Transfer Disks with Parity	Each data N+1 sector is N+1 subdivided and distributed acrossall data disks. Redundant info normally stored on dedicated parity disk.	much higher than single disk; comparable to RXID 2, 4 or 5	highest	similar to 2x single disk
	rendering, very transitory data					servers with large capacity	4	Data sectors N+1 distributed as withdiskstriping. Redundant info	much higher than single disk; comparable	R: similar to disk striping	R: similar to disk striping
	2					requirements		stored on dedicated parity disk.	to RAID 2, 3 or 5	w: much Iower than single disk	W: much lower than single disk
							5	Data sectors N+1 distributed as with disk striping. Pedurdart info	much higher than single disk;	R: similar todisk striping	R: similar todisk striping
								interspersed with user data.	to RAID, 2, 3 or 4	W: lower than single disk	W: usually lower than single disk
							6	As RAID N+2 Level 5, but with additional	highest	R: similar todisk striping	R: similar todisk striping
								computed redundant info.		W: lower than RAID 5	W: much Iower than RAID 5

PAID Features and Performan

DEVICES!

- "Personal Cloud Storage" devices
 - Western Digital EX series

Home	Users	Shares	Cloud Access	Destructo	
				васкорь	Storage
Capacity			Device	2	
		lovies 0 MB		Di	agnostics
	💆 P	thotos 0 MB		1550	 Healthy
11.8	5 🛛 🗗	Ausic 0 MB		Fi	mware
ТВ		2ther 12,72 MB	-		1 06 133
	C F	ree 11.8 TB			
letwork Activity	Clo	ud Devices	Users	App	s



DEVICES!

- "Personal Cloud Storage" devices
 - QNAP





DEVICES!

- Unitrends
 - Enterprise Level Back Up





Navigation

Y 🗮 Hyper-V_UEB

vmhosti

SYMANTEC BACKUP EXEC

Standard Compact F Vie	Home Backu	p and Restor Pause Disable Offline	re Job Monitor	Storage	Reports	Initialize now Operations
	_		_	_	_	
Name A	otic library 0001		State Online		Storage Type Robotic library	Active Al
	Slots				Robotic library slo	ots
1	Tape drive 0001	(No media)	Online		Tape drive	
6	Tape drive 0003 IBM ULT3580-TD5	(No media)	Online		Tape drive	1
	Tape drive 0004	(No media)	Online		Tape drive	j
6	Tape drive 0005	(No media)	Online		Tape drive	
100	Tape drive 0006	(No media)	Online		Tape drive	
100	Tape drive 0007 IBM ULT3580-TD5	(No media)	Online		Tape drive	
6	Tape drive 0008 IBM ULT3580-TD5	(No media)	Online		Tape drive	
6	Tape drive 0009	(No media)	Online		Tape drive	

ACRONIS BACK UP



REPLICATION

• You can also replicate your servers (with all of its data) to multiple locations.

- This isn't the best for protecting of "corrupted" data
 - IE Crypto Locker
- However this offers redundancy!
- Replication is running the exact same server environment on different:
 - Hardware (preferred)
 - VM (less preferred)





https://cloud.google.com/sql/docs/mysql/replication/



Amazon's massive AWS outage was caused by human error

One incorrect command and the whole internet suffers.

BY JASON DEL REY | @DELREY | MAR 2, 2017, 2:20PM EST

http://www.recode.net/2017/3/2/14792636/amazon-aws-internet-outage-cause-human-error-incorrect-command

DATA CENTERS

- Host your environment in someone else's data center
 - Latisys
 - RackSpace
- You rely on them to provide redundancy and security
 - However, if your network is down, you have no way to connect to the data center.



APPLICATION HOSTING AND BACK UP

- Two Layers
 - Server Front End: Runs the "pretty" stuff like windows, graphics, and public facing display.
 - Server Back End: Usually a "database".
- It is harder to replicate databases, so most people will replicate front ends (for load balancing) and back up the databases.

HIGH AVAILABILITY



Hot site: active synchronization, could be serving services. Cost can be high Warm site: periodical synchronization, DR tests needed. Low costs Cold site: Nothing here – just echo and some place to spin services; nightmare

Maciej Lasyk, High Availability Explained

MONITORING IS IMPORTANT

- Monitor your servers to prevent issues before they happen. Things to monitor for:
 - Network Drops (means it can be device failure or network issue)
 - Temperature of Devices (prevent overheating)
 - Server Processes (if a server is running to high for too long something could be wrong)
 - Storage Space (running out of space can corrupt an entire system)
 - Memory Usage
 - Database Errors

Servers/Dev Group Overview	vices												Updated ()3 Apr 201 All Repo	3 08:31:1 Ints	B AM r=60 PDF Version
	Ser	ver Stat	us Coun	ts							Monito	r Status	Counts	2		
113 OK	9 Ale	rt	4 E	rror	0	Other		92	25 OK		17 Alert		4 Error		19 Ot	her
	Ping	СРЈ	Memory	Bandwidth	Disk Space	Event Log	Services	Performance	Execute Script	Web Page	File/Dir Change	Mail Server	^L og File	File/Dir Size	TCP Ports	SNMP
TEST-2	0				θ	0	Ø	0			Ø					
EXCHANGE01									θ							
VOODOO-HV	θ				$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$			$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$					
Linux Mint [192,168.7	0				\odot			$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$								$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
D2	0		0		0			0		1	0	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	\odot	0	0	0
Archive [192 .168.7.2]	0	0	0		0	Â		0		<	0					
TYRO-HV	0	0	0		0		1	0			0					
VOODOO7-HV	0	0	0		0	1	1	0			0					
LOTSA	0	0	0		0	0		0			0					
192.168.7.101	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			0					
192.168.7.102	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			0					
192.168.7.103	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			0					
192.168.7.104	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			0					

Nagios	Current Net	work Status Sector 1 17 20:52 CET	2006	Host	Status Totals		Service Status Totals
Ceneral	Updated every Magiesti - men	30 seconde Nacionalis			0 0	200	Sat 3 2 10 0
Home Decumentation	Logged in an a Mana Highany For Mana Highany For	ndites Latt freety so For All Heaty		All Pro	Minni Alf Exant 500		All Broblems All Lypns
Manitaring	Mune Hint State	a Datail For All Heats				5	
Tactical Overview Service Detail Host Detail Hostgroup Overview Hostgroup Summary Hostgroup Gold	Display Filt not Status Ty Host Properties Service Statue Service Proper	ers: reg All a Any Type: All Postiens teg Any		Service Statu	Details For Al	l Hosts	
Servicegroup Overview Servicegroup Summary	films 7	Service	Status	Cust Check	Detailen	Anicempt 1	Status Information
Servicegroup Grid Status Hap	LUDMZ001	Constitute	CRITICAL	01-01-2006 17:25:12	5d 20h 27m 53y	50	He process matching sails found - DRITIERL
3-D Status Map	LADM2002	S A Laurentitunte		01-01-2006 17:26:28	6d 74 67m 58a	5/5	He precess matching sails found - CRITICAL
Service Problems Host Probleme	. Providence and a					11 212	
Network Outages	COMPANY.	N HPAgent	[/d] uncorren	01-01-2006 17:26:44	2476-63m-8s	1/5	HP Agento Status Unierove
Show Host:		DBM	N CRITICAL	01-01-3006 17:27:53	2d 76 52m De	1/5	CRITICAL - Societ time and after 10 records
		Eithe	ENTICAL	01-01-2008 17:25:05	2d 7s 61m 48s	1/8	CRITICAL - Plagin timed out after 10 seconds
Comments.	fir all strengt	N HPAsent	() DIMONOWIN	01-01-2006 17:26:06	104 7h 7m 7s	1/5	HP Agents Status Uninvest
Deweitime		httm	N CHITICH	01-01-2008 17:25:28	104 71, 0m 18z	1/6	CRITICAL - Socket time out after 10 seconds
Process Info		PINO	DUTIERL.	01-01-2008 17:28:46	104 7h 7m 8s	1.6	CRITICAL - Plugin timed out after 10 seconds
Scheduling Queun	Ry. 990092	Enert Beneri	workieno-	91-01-2000 17:29:15	04 24 11m 58s	515	HP Agents Status Degrafed
Reporting	SV HALLOS	N HPAgent	() WARNING	01-01-2006 17:25:04	0d 23h 38m 0s	6/6	HP Agents Status Degraded
Trends Availability Alart Habseram	TU. MARICE	N BRAREL	Col CRITICAL	01-01-3008 17:27:54	5d 11h 4tm 10s	5/5	HP Agents Status Failed
Alart History Alart Summary	TX SPITO2	N HEAREN	() WARMING	01-01-2005 17:28:31	684 21h 1m 37s	5.5	HP Agents Status Degraded
Doent Log	TV. TAMOOD	Service N	Carrien.	01-01-2008 17 27-22	194-4h 92m 10a	5/6	IIP Agents Status Falled
Configuration			WHERE THE PARTY NAME				
View Config				12 Maturing	Service Entries Display	ned .	

PINGDOM

02/08/2011 06:48:27A (GMT -6:00) Central Time (US & Can.), Mex								
verview								
Name 🔺	Feb 2	Feb 3	Feb 4	Feb 5	Feb 6	Feb 7	Feb 8	
users.apievangelist.com	0	0	0	0	0	٢	0	
blog.apievangelist.com	0	٢	0	0	0	0	0	
😡 faq.apievangelist.com	0	٢	0	0	0	0	0	
🟮 forum.apievangelist.com	8	۵	٥	0	0	0	0	
😡 code.apievangelist.com	٥	٢	٢	0	0	0	٢	
partners.apievangelist.com	0	۲	۲	0	0	۲	٥	
reports.apievangelist.com	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
w 10 C rows per page	isues 🕴 S	ervice distuption	n 🔘 Nei data	Available				

Pingdom Dashboard - Public Demo 💿 🛛 👁 👁 👁 Klipfolio Pingdom & Google Analytics: Site Speed Pingdom Check Summary (30 Days) Avg Response Time 528 ms My-Test-Server 3.3% 🛦 ys 511 ms (Pysy) 00.7% 0.44s vi previous 30 day 🗾 Avg Server Response Time 889 ms Average response time 1.2% 99.87% vs previous 30 day Avg Redirection Time 147 ms 6.1% 🔺 >s 138 ms (Prev) ~ -1.2% 54m:0s 4.74 s Avg Page Load Time 22.0% 🔺 vs 3.89 s (Prev) Pingdom & Google Analytics: Sessions vs Response Time 📈 🔸 👂 Web Site Sessions (GA) - Avg Response Time (P 250 m 0 I I I Sep 13, 08:00 AM to Sep 14, 7:00 AM Pingdom & Google Analytics: Load Time vs Response Time 🔀 + 👂 Web Site Page Load Time (GA) 300 0.5 20 22 21 12 14 23 Sep 13, 08:00 AM to Sep 14, 07:00 AM Pingdom Check History Pingdom Hourly Average Response Time (7 Days) DS2-Server-01-Test My-Server-Test 1.05 ուսունու 0.55 0.06 12:00 April 21, 2016 08:43 PM Apr 21, 2016 10:22 PM 6:00 9:00 15.00 580 21:00 Hour of the Day for Last 7 Days Pingdom Checks 19 √15 фз X1 Checks Down Paried Check Nam Last Tested Last Error Pingdom Last Error Beta 052 Server-01 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 1.275 0.635 Apr 14, 2016 0:32:03 0d.0h:53s as of Apr 14, 2016 Beta DS2 Server-02 Beta DS2 Server-03 Jan 9, 2016 13:53:09 May 26, 2016 0:55:34 as of Jan 9, 2016 as of May 26, 2016 94411h 9m24a My-Test-Server 138d15h54m52s DS1 Test Server X May 10, 2016 11:24:53 154d 9h 10m 13s as of May 10, 2016 1d:7h:56m:12s DS1 Server-01-as DS1 Server-02-se Apr 13, 2016 0:11:46 Mar 7, 2016 02:32:31 0d:15h:58m:21s as of Apr 14, 2016 376:22h:20m:444 as of Apr 14, 2016 DS1 Server-03-by DS1 Server-04-dw 0.285 0.385 Mar 4, 2016 05:52:12 Mar 4, 2016 05:53:21 40d:19h:9m:11s as of Apr 14, 2016 as of Apr 14, 2016

105 A-Klipfoli

40d:19h:8m:23s

@ Connected

TEST YOUR PLAN

- Test Your Back Ups
 - Do a recovery on a different server to ensure accuracy and time how long it takes to recover
- Test Your Redundancy
 - Remove a network, server, and determine if fail over occurs.
 - Time these!
- Test Test Test.



DIFFERENCES BETWEEN...

- An Emergency Response Plan
 - What to do immediately if an incident occurs.
- Business Continuity Plan
 - Address the immediate response AND short and long term continued performance of essential business functions
- While you make your disaster plan, you should work to mitigate as many risks, and then plan for the risks you couldn't mitigate.

LAYOUT OF A "DISASTER PLAN"

Step 1: Project Development and Initiation Phase

Step 2: Analysis and Data Gathering Phase

Step 3: Analyze Results and Select Strategies

Step 4: Design and Development of Policies and Standards

Step 5: Create and Implement Contingency Plans

Step 6: Plan Exercise and Training (Awareness)

Step 7: Plan Audit and Maintenance

TO RECAP

- Risk Assessments to determine what the risks are and how to handle them.
 - Using the risk matrix; determine how much effort will be needed (and at what costs)
- Plans in place if there is some sort of failure.
 - Using the options presented, what makes the most sense to you?
 - Who are the contacts?
- Test.
 - Most important part of the entire disaster recovery process.

LINKS!

https://view.officeapps.live.com/o p/view.aspx?src=http://cdn.ttgtm edia.com/searchDisasterRecover y/downloads/SearchDisasterRec overy_IT_DisasterRecoveryTem plate.doc

Location:
Server Model
Operating System:
CPUs:
Memory:
Total Disk:
System Handle:
System Serial #
DNS Entry
IP Address:
Other:
Provide details
Provide details
Drovide details
Provide details
Provide details
Flovide details
Provide details
Provide details

STORIES

- Crypto Locker:
 - Brought a business to a halt for three days.
 - Email Access Missing Back Ups
- Server Failure on Accounting Server
 - Was right before tax season.
- SAN Failure
 - Brought entire business down when EMC drives failed and there was no alerting set up (on a RAID).



QUESTIONS

- Brian Pichman
- <u>bpichman@evolveproject.org</u>
- Twitter: @bpichman

